

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

08 JUL 15 PM 4:25

*in place for
Clerk's Office File Stamp*

**CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

TO: ☐ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE

FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk

RECEIVED DATE: 7/3/2008

CASE NO.: 08cv441 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Arnell

CASE TITLE: Arnell v. Lieb, et al

DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice of Plaintiff's request for Court Order

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		OTHER: <u>Corrected Notice filed as Ex Parte Application</u>

Date forwarded: 7/7/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. *Cye* Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: TRB

Dated: 7/14/2008
cc: All Parties

By: [Signature]

REJECTED

LANTZ ARNELL, MD
1516 Glorietta Blvd., Coronado, CA 92118
Tel. (619) 435-4064

United States District Court
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LANTZ ARNELL, MD

Case # '08 - CV- 00441

LAB

**NOTICE of Plaintiff's request for
Court Order for Bank's**

Surveillance records and request

**Enlargement of time to serve Jack
Lieb.**

vs.

Jack Lieb Esq. & Assoc.
Judge W. McAdam and
others.

Respondents

NOTICE is hereby given to Cheryl Brierton, Attorney for Judge William McAdam,
that plaintiff will ask Court for a Court Order to obtain Bank Surveillance
information and enlargement of time to serve defendant Jack Lieb, Esq.